

*BEST COPY
Available*

OCT 19 1963

Bobby's Hand Elsewhere

By ROBERT S. ALLEN
AND PAUL SCOTT



Mr. Allen

The so-called counter-insurgency program—President Kennedy's once loudly-touted plan to assist foreign governments to combat subversion and revolution—is undergoing exhaustive congressional scrutiny.

This unannounced investigation was instituted by Representative Clarence Cannon, D-Mo., veteran chairman of the powerful House Appropriations Committee.

Cannon decided an extensive inquiry was called for as the result of a number of disconcerting disclosures in the course of his committee's months-long closed-door hearings on the budgets of governmental agencies. Much of this very revealing testimony will never be published as it has been censored on the ground of being classified information.

But it can be reported that foremost among these backstage discoveries were:

Attorney General Robert Kennedy is the real boss of the Interdepartmental Committee on Counterinsurgency established by his brother, the President, to direct this program. Nominal chairman is Undersecretary of State U. Alexis Johnson, veteran career diplomat with wide experience in Iron Curtain countries. But the real ruler of the program is Bob Kennedy.

Large amounts of foreign aid and Central Intelligence Agency funds are being used to finance the worldwide activities of the counter-insurgency plan. Most of this money is being spent in organizing, training, equipping and maintaining special police (security) forces.

The Appropriations Committee has ascertained that \$3 million a year of such funds is being expended for this purpose in South Vietnam; more than \$615,000 in the Dominican Republic during the seven-month regime of deposed President Bosch; and around \$500,000 in Honduras, where President Villeda Morales was ousted by a military coup. In each of these government overthrows, the U.S.-financed and trained special police either did nothing or were wholly ineffectual.

Large sums are being spent for special counter-insurgency forces in Peru, Bolivia, Colombia and Venezuela—the last three seriously threatened by Communist terrorists on one hand and military takeovers on the other.

How Come and Why

How Come and Why
particularly interested in learning

the reason for Bobby Kennedy's exceptional interest in and direction of the counter-insurgency program—in view of the fact that it operates abroad and largely in the military field.

This undercover situation is another instance of the young Attorney General's active participation in virtually everything that transpires in his brother's administration.

Bobby has his hand in every important policy and decision at home and abroad—regardless of whether it has anything to do with the Justice Department or not.

The investigators propose to uncover the extent and nature of his activities in the counterinsurgency program, which proved a dismal flop in Honduras and the Dominican Republic, and is under sharp attack in South Vietnam where the U.S.-financed special forces have been used by the Diem brothers to attack and jail thousands of Buddhist priests and students, and beat up American newsmen.

Answers are being sought to the House probes to such other pertinent questions as:

Total of foreign aid and CIA funds already spent on counter-insurgency operations in foreign countries.

Who makes these decisions and on what basis?

What reports if any have been compiled by the Interdepartment Committee on Counterinsurgency; who gets them and what is done about them—if anything?

Cause of the failure of the special police forces in Honduras and the Dominican Republic to avert or resist the military coups.

A full account of the tug-of-war between the Diem brothers and State Department officials, headed by Undersecretary Averell Harriman, for control of the special force in South Vietnam, numbering some 13,000. The Diems installed their own commander, Colonel Le Quang Tung, who led the violent crackdown on the Buddhists and students. The State Department, with President Kennedy's approval, tried to replace him, but so far to no avail.

In addition to Chairman Alexis Johnson and Attorney General Robert Kennedy, other members of the Interdepartmental Counterinsurgency Committee are General Maxwell Taylor, head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, CIA Director John McCone, Foreign Aid Director David Bell, and McGeorge Bundy, special foreign aid adviser to the President.

ILLEGIB

June 20, 1963

Secret

Hon. Kermit Gordon
Director
Bureau of the Budget
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Director:

During July, the Central Intelligence Agency should proceed with regular activities on the basis of a joint resolution continuing appropriations, expected to be enacted next week.

In addition, the Agency is authorized to proceed with the program discussed in the hearing, which contemplates withdrawal from Reserves, up to

25X1

Final determination on appropriations for the Agency will be provided upon completion of Committee analysis of budget estimates.

Sincerely,

(A1)

Clarence Cannon, Chairman

cc: Senate
Agency

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

25X1

Bolton, Frances P.
Bolton, Oliver P.
Bow
Brademas
Bray
Bromwell
Brooks
Brotzman
Brown, Calif.
Brown, Ohio
Bruce
Burke
Burkhalter
Byrne, Pa.
Byrnes, Wis.
Cahill
Cannon
Carey
Cederberg
Celler
Chamberlain
Chelf
Chenoweth
Clancy
Clark
Lawson, Del.
Cleveland
Conte
Corman
Cunningham
Curtin
Curtis
Daddario
Dague
Daniels
Dawson
Delaney
Dent
Denton
Derounian
Devine
Diggs
Dingell
Dole
Donohue
Duncan
Dwyer
Edmondson
Edwards
Fallon
Farbstien
Fascell
Findley
Finnegan
Flood
Fogarty
Ford
Fraser
Friedel
Fulton, Pa.
Fulton, Tenn.
Garmatz
Glaimo
Gibbons
Gilbert
Gill
Glenn
Gonzalez
Goodell
Goodling
Grabowski
Gray
Green, Oreg.
Griffin
Gross
Grover
Gubser
Hagen, Calif.
Halleck
Halpern

Hanna
Hansen
Harding
Harrison
Harsha
Harvey, Ind.
Hawkins
Hays
Healey
Hechler
Hoeven
Hollifield
Holland
Horan
Horton
Hosmer
Hull
Hutchinson
Ichord
Jennings
Jensen
Jolson
Johansen
Johnson, Wis.
Karsten
Karth
Kastenmeier
Kee
Keith
Kelly
Keogh
Kilgore
King, Calif.
King, N.Y.
Kirwan
Knox
Kunkel
Kyl
Laird
Langen
Latta
Leggett
Lesinski
Libonati
Lindsay
Lipscomb
McClary
McCulloch
McDade
McIntire
McLoskey
MacGregor
Madden
Martin, Nebr.
May
Meador
Miller, Calif.
Miller, N.Y.
Milliken
Minish
Minshall
Monagan
Montoya
Moore
Moorhead
Morgan
Morris
Morse
Multer
Natcher
Nelsen
Nix
O'Brien, N.Y.
O'Hara, Ill.
O'Hara, Mich.
O'Konski
Olsen, Mont.
Olson, Minn.
O'Neill
Ostertag
Patten
Pelly

Pepper
Ferkins
Philbin
Pike
Pillion
Pirnie
Powell
Price
Fucinski
Quile
Randall
Reid, Ill.
Rhodes, Ariz.
Rhodes, Pa.
Rich
Riehlman
Rivers, Alaska
Robison
Rodino
Rogers, Colo.
Rooney, N.Y.
Rooney, Pa.
Roosevelt
Rosenthal
Rostenkowski
Roudebush
Roush
Rumsfeld
Ryan, Mich.
St. George
Saylor
Schadeberg
Schneebeli
Schweiker
Schwengel
Secrest
Sienner
Shipley
Short
Shriver
Sickles
Sisk
Skubitz
Slack
Smith, Calif.
Smith, Iowa
Snyder
Springer
Staebler
Stafford
Staggers
Steed
Stinson
Stratton
Taft
Talcott
Teague, Calif.
Thomas
Thompson, N.J.
Toll
Tollefson
Udall
Utt
Van Deerlin
Vanik
Wallhauser
Weaver
Weltner
Westland
Wharton
Widnall
Wilson, Bob
Wilson,
Charles H.
Wilson, Ind.
Wright
Wylder
Wyman
Young
Younger

NAYS—80

Abbott
Abernethy
Alger
Andrews
Ashmore
Baring
Beckworth
Bennett, Fla.
Bonner
Brook
Broyhill, N.C.
Broyhill, Va.
Burleson
Colmer
Cooley
Cramer
Davis, Ga.
Dorn
Dowdy
Downing
Elliott
Everett
Evins

Flynt
Forrester
Fountain
Fuqua
Gary
Gathings
Grant
Gurney
Hagan, Ga.
Haley
Harris
Hebert
Hemphill
Henderson
Herlong
Huddleston
Jarman
Jonas
Jones, Ala.
Kornegay
Landrum
Lennon
McMillan

Mahon
Marsh
Matthews
Murray
Passman
Patman
Poage
Poff
Pool
Purcell
Quillen
Rains
Rivers, S.C.
Roberts, Ala.
Roberts, Tex.
Rogers, Fla.
Rogers, Tex.
Scott
Selden
Sikes
Smith, Va.
Stephens
Taylor

Teague, Tex.
Thompson, Tex.
Trimble
Tuck

Tuten
Waggonner
Watson
Whitener

NOT VOTING—88

Arends
Baker
Battin
Bennett, Mich.
Berry
Boggs
Broomfield
Buckley
Burton
Cameron
Casey
Clausen
Don H.
Cohelan
Collier
Corbett
Davis, Tenn.
Derwinski
Dulski
Ellsworth
Feighan
Fino
Fisher
Foreman
Frelinghuysen
Gallagher
Green, Pa.
Griffiths
Hall
Hardy

Harvey, Mich.
Hoffman
Johnson, Calif.
Jones, Mo.
Kilburn
Kluczynski
Lankford
Lloyd
Long, La.
Long, Md.
McDowell
McFall
Macdonald
Mailliard
Martin, Calif.
Martin, Mass.
Mathias
Matsunaga
Michel
Mills
Morrison
Morton
Mosher
Moos
Murphy, Ill.
Murphy, N.Y.
Nedzi
Norblad
O'Brien, Ill.
Osmers

Pilcher
Reid, N.Y.
Reifel
Reuss
Roybal
Ryan, N.Y.
St Germain
St. Onge
Schenck
Shelley
Sheppard
Sibal
Siler
Stubblefield
Sullivan
Thompson, La.
Thomson, Wis.
Thornberry
Tupper
Ullman
Van Pelt
Vinson
Watts
Whalley
White
Wickersham
Willis
Zablocki

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:
Mr. Lankford and Mr. St. Onge for, with Mr. Hardy against.
Mr. Buckley and Mr. Shelley for, with Mr. Fisher against.
Mr. Green of Pennsylvania and Mrs. Sullivan for, with Mr. Morrison against.
Mr. Cameron and Mr. Sheppard for, with Mr. Boggs against.
Mr. Zablocki and Mr. Murphy of Illinois for, with Mr. Willis against.
Mr. Arends and Mr. Watts for, with Mr. Davis of Tennessee against.
Mr. St Germain and Mr. Dulski for, with Mr. Mills against.
Mr. Ryan of New York and Mr. McFall for, with Mr. Thompson of Louisiana against.
Mr. Moss and Mr. White for, with Mr. Vinson against.
Mr. Cohelan and Mr. Kluczynski for, with Mr. Long of Louisiana against.

Until further notice:

Mr. Johnson of California with Mr. Bennett of Michigan.
Mr. Reuss with Mr. Schenck.
Mr. Feighan with Mr. Don H. Clausen.
Mrs. Griffiths with Mr. Berry of South Dakota.
Mr. Nedzi with Mr. Michel.
Mr. Murphy of New York with Mr. Osmers.
Mr. Ullman with Mr. Kilburn.
Mr. Stubblefield with Mr. Mosher.
Mr. Casey with Mr. Collier.
Mr. MacDonald with Mr. Hall.
Mr. McDowell with Mr. Baker.
Mr. O'Brien of Illinois with Mr. Mathias.
Mr. Gallagher with Mr. Morton.
Mr. Roybal with Mr. Reid of New York.
Mr. Wickersham with Mr. Broomfield.
Mr. Matsunaga with Mr. Ellsworth.
Mr. Pilcher with Mr. Frelinghuysen.
Mr. Long of Maryland with Mr. Reifel.
Mr. Thornberry with Mr. Mailliard.
Mr. Corbett with Mr. Hoffman.
Mr. Tupper with Mr. Martin, of Massachusetts.
Mr. Foreman with Mr. Battin.
Mr. Sibal with Mr. Thompson of Wisconsin.
Mr. Norblad with Mr. Siler.
Mr. Harvey of Michigan with Mr. Van Pelt.
Mr. Lloyd with Mr. Martin of California.
Mr. Burton with Mr. Derwinski.
Mr. Fino with Mr. Derwinski.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

INDEPENDENT OFFICES APPROPRIATION BILL, 1964

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight tonight to file a report on the independent offices appropriation bill, 1964.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. OSTERTAG reserved all points of order on the bill.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1964

Mr. MAHON submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 7179) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. NO. 812)

The Committee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7179) "making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendment numbered 7.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23 and 26 and agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 8: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 6, and agreed to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$45,000,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 12: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,369,071,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 14: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 14, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$2,918,600,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 15: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 15, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$191,325,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 16: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 16, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$4,355,500,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 19: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 19, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend-

17910

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

October 7

ment insert "\$2,889,145,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 21: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 21, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,385,575,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 24: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 24, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$1,386,141,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 25: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,453,376,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement amendments numbered 9, 11, 20 and 27.

RICHARD B. RUSSELL,
CARL HAYDEN,
LISTER HILL,
JOHN L. MCCLELLAN,
ALLEN J. ELLENDER,
HARRY F. BYRD,
LEVERETT SALTONSTALL,
MILTON YOUNG,
MARGARET CHASE SMITH,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

GEORGE MAHON,
HARRY R. SHEPPARD,
CLARENCE CANNON,
GERALD R. FORD,
HAROLD C. OSTERTAG,

Managers on the Part of the House.

STATEMENT

The managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7179) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes, submit the following statement in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon and recommended in the accompanying conference report as to each of such amendments, namely:

TITLE I—MILITARY PERSONNEL

Military personnel, Army

Amendment No. 1: Provides \$100,000,000 by transfer from the Army stock fund and defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$125,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 2: Provides \$50,000,000 by transfer from the Army industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$65,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Military personnel, Navy

Amendment No. 3: Provides \$30,000,000 by transfer from the defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$37,000,000 from the Navy stock fund and the defense stock fund as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 4: Strikes language providing for transfer from Navy stock fund as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 5: Provides for transfer of \$90,000,000 from the Navy industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$110,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Military personnel, Air Force

Amendment No. 6: Provides for transfer of \$45,000,000 from the Air Force stock fund and the defense stock fund instead of \$20,000,000 by transfer from the defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate and \$175,000,000 by transfer from the Air Force stock fund and the defense stock fund as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 7: Restores language proposed by the House providing for transfers from the Air Force stock fund.

Amendment No. 8: Provides for transfer of \$10,000,000 from the Air Force industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$35,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Reserve personnel, Army

Amendment No. 9: Reported in disagreement.

National Guard personnel, Army

Amendment No. 10: Appropriates \$242,800,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$240,300,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 11: Reported in disagreement.

It is the intent of the committee on conference, in providing the amounts indicated in amendments numbers 1 through 8, inclusive, to provide for carrying out the program of military personnel strengths proposed in the budget estimates. It is understood that adjustments may be sought in connection with the supplemental estimates covering the costs of the recently enacted military pay increase.

TITLE II—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Operation and maintenance, Army

Amendment No. 12: Appropriates \$3,369,071,000 instead of \$3,361,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,375,643,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Navy

Amendment No. 13: Provides a limitation of \$7,800,000 for emergency and extraordinary expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$7,400,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 14: Appropriates \$2,913,600,000 instead of \$2,905,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,919,200,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps

Amendment No. 15: Appropriates \$191,325,000 instead of \$191,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$191,650,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Air Force

Amendment No. 16: Appropriates \$4,355,500,000 instead of \$4,341,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,370,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard

Amendment No. 17: Appropriates \$180,800,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$176,600,000 as proposed by the House.

TITLE III—PROCUREMENT

Procurement of equipment and missiles, Army

Amendment No. 18: Appropriates \$2,931,094,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,958,894,000 as proposed by the House.

Procurement of aircraft and missiles, Navy

Amendment No. 19: Appropriates \$2,889,145,000 instead of \$2,877,445,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,928,845,000 as proposed by the Senate. The committee of conference has deleted the funds restored by the Senate for the EA6A aircraft. The program presented to the Committee on Appropriations for the modification of existing aircraft to the proposed EA6A configuration is approved and should be accomplished with funds presently available in this appropriation item. Funds restored to the bill by the Senate in the amount of \$10,200,000 for the Gulfstream aircraft are deleted. Funds restored to the bill by the Senate in the amount of \$11,700,000 for the T2B trainer aircraft are approved.

Shipbuilding and conversion, Navy

Amendment No. 20: Reported in disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to appropriate \$2,059,589,000 instead of \$2,080,089,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,068,089,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Aircraft procurement, Air Force

Amendment No. 21: Appropriates \$3,385,575,000 instead of \$3,328,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,395,075,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have reduced by \$9,500,000 the funds restored by the Senate for the C-141 aircraft. This is to be applied to the procurement of spare parts and is not to interfere with the number of aircraft programed in the bill as approved by the Senate.

Missile procurement, Air Force

Amendment No. 22: Appropriates \$2,141,990,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,129,490,000 as proposed by the House.

Other procurement, Air Force

Amendment No. 23: Appropriates \$878,299,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$871,299,000 as proposed by the House.

TITLE IV—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army

Amendment No. 24: Appropriates \$1,386,141,000 instead of \$1,369,141,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,391,141,000 as proposed by the Senate. The committee of conference approves an increase of \$23,000,000 for the defense communication satellite as proposed by the Senate and is agreed that \$5,000,000 above the House amount be restored to the LANCE missile program to be offset by a corresponding reduction in an aircraft development program.

Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force

Amendment No. 25: Appropriates \$3,453,376,000 instead of \$3,416,146,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,483,376,000 as proposed by the Senate. The increase above the House amount includes \$30,000,000 for the mobile medium range ballistic missile program and \$7,230,000 for a classified project.

TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 26: Provides for a limitation of 20 per centum on indirect expenses in connection with research grants as proposed by the Senate instead of 25 per centum as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 27: Reported in disagreement.

GEORGE MAHON,
HARRY R. SHEPPARD,
CLARENCE CANNON,
GERALD R. FORD,
HAROLD C. OSTERTAG,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. HALLECK. Mr. Speaker, I would like to address a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HALLECK. I believe the Consent Calendar has been scheduled for consideration. I am wondering if we can follow along with the Consent Calendar now, because on our side some of the objectors necessarily will have to be absent later on this afternoon.

The SPEAKER. The Chair intended to recognize Members for unanimous-consent requests and then proceed to the Consent Calendar.

WAIVER OF INDEBTEDNESS BY ADMINISTRATOR OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from